



Vice President Monthly Report

Date Submitted:	Aug, 2011
Submitted By:	Mike Caslor
Time Required	15 minutes
Comments & Updates	
<p>Re: MCA survey</p> <p>As of the morning of August 19th, 91 people have completed the survey. A nice cross representation of our membership, there is some great feedback.</p> <p>Responses can be reviewed at http://surveyanalytics.com/s/1-834152-2144573 and at http://surveyanalytics.com/s/5-834152-2144573 These links will also update as more responses come in. Enjoy.</p> <p>It would make sense that I present some overall trends to the board during our Sept member, but it may also be good to send certain responses to specific Directors (for example, comments from mountain bike races should go to Dylan, comments from KOM athletes and parents to Moni, etc.)</p> <p>Re: Revised Development Policy</p> <p>I have included a draft for an expanded (Site) Development Policy.</p> <p>The general scope of this new policy (now to include site, coach and commissaire development) is supported by the committee chairs (at least no one has disagreed). Some of the details still need to be agreed on. My rationale for the current draft is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only MCA clubs can access the fund, as this is a new benefit of being an MCA club • Club 'level' impacts on how much they can access the fund, as Level A clubs do more races, have more of these expenses, and proportionally probably contribute to the fund more due to larger memberships. • Clubs presidents submit requests for reimbursement simply to help ensure that submissions are on behalf of the club instead of individual club members (because clubs access the fund not 	

individuals).

Things to still be clarified regarding the Development Policy and are not incorporated into this draft.

- Do we need overall annual spending limits on the policy (to ensure that the fund is not empty in 2 years)? This could happen if each club uses their limit each year, which is maybe not a problem at all.
- If we do go with annual overall spending limits, how would we say no to some applications but not others? Do we simply do first come, first serve?

If you have any thoughts regarding these policy questions, feel free to let me know.

We also need to update our Duties of Directors policy as some of our recent policy changes have had an impact on director duties. I hope to bring a redraft of this to the board in September, any volunteers to help?

Issues & Concerns	
-------------------	--

- | |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Board turn over could have a significant impact on moving forward with key improvements that are needed. |
|--|